

United States Patent and Trademark Offici

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILÍNG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/657,446	09/08/2000	David E. Edgren	ARC 2762C1	1540
7590 08/08/2002 Vandana Date Alza Corporation P O Box 7210		1		
			EXAM	NER
			FUBARA, BI	FUBARA, BLESSING M
Mountain View, CA	94043		ART UNIT +	PAPER NUMBER
	f		1615	
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

t	Application No.	Applicant(s)
` Advisory Action	09/657,446	EDGREN ET AL.
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit
	Blessing M. Fubara	1615
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	corresp ndence address
THE REPLY FILED 12 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	FR 1.704(b).	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: The claims originally presented do not re	<u>cite semipermeable membrane</u> .	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:		THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

. . . Ý

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior art cited, for example, Chen, discloses a controlled release pharmaceutical dosage form that has a dual layer membrane coating a medicament core and the permeability of the membrane are modified by mixing the polymers used in the membrane. One of the membrane in Chen is in contact with the medicament

THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600